Amann et al. (2020): Explainability for Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: A Multidisciplinary Perspective



Explainability

Amann et al., p. 2:

In its essence, explainability can be understood as a characteristic of an AI-driven system allowing a person to reconstruct why a certain AI came up with the presented predictions."

Discussing the role of explainability in Al from various perspectives

- The technological perspective
- The legal perspective
- The medical perspective
- The patient perspective

Context: AI-based Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS)

Technological perspective

- Explainability ("interpretability") as an inherent characteristic of algorithms (e.g., linear or logistic regression)
- Methods that approximate explainability (e.g. LIME, SHAP)
- Trade-off between performance and explainability
- Explainability helps developers sanity check their models

Legal perspective

- Is explainability in AI legally required? If so, to what extent?
- Informed consent
 - Precondition for processing personal health data
 - Requires adequate information and understanding
- Certification and approval as medical devices
 Role of explainability is currently unclear

Liability

- Need for disclosure of the use of an AI-based CDSS?
- Legal and litigation questions, depending on whether or not a physician adheres to the AI recommendation

Medical perspective

- Need to reflect on how AI-based clinical decision support is different from established diagnostic tools
- Need for clinical validation
 - Accuracy
 - Bias leading to systematic errors
- "Explainability enables the resolution of disagreement between an AI system and human experts" (p. 5)

Patient perspective

- Black box AI conflicts with the core ideals of patient-centered medicine
- Clinicians need to understand the relevant aspects in order to explain them to patients
- Explainability facilitates conversations between medical doctors and patients

Tom L. Beauchamp & James F. Childress: Principles of Biomedical Ethics

- Respect for Human Autonomy
- Beneficence
- Non–Maleficence
- Justice

"Based on our analysis, we consider explainability a necessary requirement to address these challenges in a sustainable manner that is compatible with professional norms and values." (Amann et al., p. 8)



- How is AI-based clinical decision support different from established diagnostic tools?
- Who benefits from explainable AI?

- What type of explanation is needed? How much explanation is needed?
- How important is explainability, compared to accuracy?

- Should medical doctors and healthcare professionals be required to let patients know when they use an AI-based clinical decision support system (CDSS)?
- How may the use of AI-based CDSSs influence patient autonomy and informed consent?

- How may the use of AI-based CDSSs influence the autonomy of healthcare professionals?
- Is there a risk that healthcare professionals tend to follow the AI-based CDSS output in order to avoid legal and medical repercussions?

