Advanced Topics in Al Heuristics





Instructor: Prof. Dr. techn. Wolfgang Nejdl

Leibniz University Hannover



[These slides were created by Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel for CS188 Intro to AI at UC Berkeley. All CS188 materials are available at http://ai.berkeley.edu.]

Idea: Admissibility



Inadmissible (pessimistic) heuristics break optimality by trapping good plans on the fringe



Admissible (optimistic) heuristics slow down bad plans but never outweigh true costs



Admissible Heuristics

• A heuristic *h* is *admissible* (optimistic) if:

 $0 \le h(n) \le h^*(n)$

where $h^*(n)$ is the true cost to a nearest goal

• Examples:





0.0

 Coming up with admissible heuristics is most of what's involved in using A* in practice.





Optimality of A* Tree Search







Optimality of A* Tree Search

- Assume:
 - A is an optimal goal node
 - B is a suboptimal goal node
 - h is admissible



• Claim:

• A will exit the fringe before B





Optimality of A* Tree Search: Blocking

Proof:

- Imagine B is on the fringe
- Some ancestor n of A is on the fringe, too (maybe A!)
- Claim: n will be expanded before B
 - 1. f(n) is less or equal to f(A)



f(n) = g(n) + h(n) $f(n) \le g(A)$ g(A) = f(A)

Definition of f-cost Admissibility of hh = 0 at a goal



Optimality of A* Tree Search: Blocking

Proof:

- Imagine B is on the fringe
- Some ancestor n of A is on the fringe, too (maybe A!)
- Claim: n will be expanded before B
 - 1. f(n) is less or equal to f(A)
 - 2. f(A) is less than f(B)

g(A) < g(B)f(A) < f(B)

B is suboptimal h = 0 at a goal





Optimality of A* Tree Search: Blocking

Proof:

- Imagine B is on the fringe
- Some ancestor n of A is on the fringe, too (maybe A!)
- Claim: n will be expanded before B
 - 1. f(n) is less or equal to f(A)
 - 2. f(A) is less than f(B)
 - 3. *n* expands before B
- All ancestors of A expand before B
- A expands before B
- A* search is optimal



 $f(n) \le f(A) < f(B)$





Optimality of A* Graph Search







A* Graph Search Gone Wrong?



o-financed by the Connecting Europe acility of the European Union

Consistency of Heuristics



- Main idea: estimated heuristic costs ≤ actual costs
 - Admissibility: heuristic cost ≤ actual cost to goal

 $h(A) \leq actual cost from A to G$

- Consistency: heuristic "arc" cost ≤ actual cost for each arc
 h(A) h(C) ≤ cost(A to C)
- Consequences of consistency:
 - The f value along a path never decreases
 - $h(A) \le cost(A to C) + h(C)$
 - A* graph search is optimal





Optimality of A* Search

- With an admissible heuristic, Tree A* is optimal.
- With a consistent heuristic, Graph A* is optimal.
- With h=o, the same proof shows that UCS is optimal.





Advanced Topics in Al Next: Creating Heuristics





Instructor: Prof. Dr. techn. Wolfgang Nejdl

Leibniz University Hannover



Co-financed by the Connecting Euro Facility of the European Union

[These slides were created by Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel for CS188 Intro to AI at UC Berkeley. All CS188 materials are available at http://ai.berkeley.edu.]